

1 Peter F. Carroll  
2 Attorney at Law  
3 715 South Main Street  
4 Kalispell, Montana 59901  
5 Tel: (406)755-6330  
6 Fax: (406)755-6331  
7 Attorney for the Plaintiffs

8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA**

10 **Anthony Rebich, and  
11 Sherry Rebich,**

12 **Plaintiff,**

13 **vs.**

14 Department of Veterans Affairs,  
15 United States of America,  
16 and Does 1 through 5, inclusive

17 **Defendants.**

---

18 **COMPLAINT**

---

19 This is an action against the Defendant, United States of America, brought  
20 pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA), (28 U.S.C. §2671, et seq.) and 28  
21 U.S.C. §1346(b)(1), for negligence and professional malpractice in connection with  
22 medical diagnosis and care provided to the Plaintiff, Anthony Rebich, (hereafter "Mr.  
23 Rebich") by the Department of Veterans Affairs at the Community Based Outpatient  
24 Clinic located in Kalispell, Montana (hereafter, "CBOC-Kalispell"). and the  
Montana VA medical Center based in Ft. Harrison, Montana (hereafter, "MT-VMC").

25 **JURISDICTION AND EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS**

26 1. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b)(1). This U.S. District  
27 Court has exclusive jurisdiction to hear FTCA claims.

1       2. That since on or before January 1, 2010, and until approximately  
2 December 2018, the defendant, Anthony Rebich, received his medical care through  
3 the Veteran's Administration.

4       3.     In or about June, 2018, Mr. Rebich attended a medical assessment  
5 during which his complaints were a shortness of breath and chest pain.

6       4. During the June 2018 appointment a disagreement occurred over  
7 whether Mr. Rebich's ill health was a matter of his pulmonary health or whether it  
8 was related to his cardiac functions.

9        5.      On or about July 2020, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2675(a), written notice  
10      by way of a completed Standard Form 95 together with an addendum and all pertinent  
11      supportive documentation of Mr. Rebich's administrative tort claim was presented  
12      to the appropriate Federal Agency, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs.

## VENUE

15       6.     Consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 1402(b), venue is proper in this district and  
16 division in that at all times relevant, Mr. Rebich was and currently resides in the  
17 District of Montana and the acts and omissions complained of occurred in the  
18 District of Montana.

## PARTIES

21       7.     The Plaintiff, Mr. Anthony Rebich, (hereafter "Mr. Rebich") served in  
22 the United States Army from 19 December 1965 and until 29 December 1969.

23 8. Mr. Rebich was Honorably Discharged from the United States Army.

24        9.      During his service in Southeast Asia, Mr. Rebich was exposed to Agent  
25      Orange and other dangerous substances.

26 || //

27 | // / /

1       10. Since his return from Southeast Asia, Mr. Rebich has experienced a  
2 series medical infirmities and Mr. Rebich's treatment for these illnesses has largely  
3 been treated and cared for by the defendant's health care system.

4       11. In August 1991, Mr. Rebich married Sherry Rebich (hereafter "Ms  
5 Rebich") and they have remained husband and wife.

6       12. That, the plaintiff, Ms Sherry Rebich has attended to Mr. Rebich's  
7 illnesses throughout the parties' marriage. Mrs. Rebich is Mr. Rebich's wife and  
8 care provider.

9       13. At all times relevant, the Defendant owned, operated and controlled a  
10 certain health care facility known as the VA Medical Center and Ambulatory Care  
11 Clinic,(hereinafter the "MT-VMC") located at 3687 Veterans Drive, P.O. Box 1500,  
12 Fort Harrison, Montana 59636.

13       14. At all times relevant that defendant owned, operated controlled the  
14 operations of a Community Based Outpatient Clinic located in Kalispell, Montana  
15 (hereafter, "CBOC-Kalispell"), and medical professionals CBOC Kalispell provided  
16 diagnosis, care and continuing treatment to Mr. Rebich at the CBOC Kalispell.

17       15. The defendant was the exclusive provider of medical care and treatment  
18 of Mr. Rebich between on or before 2004 and until 2021.

19       16. The defendant has shared the medical care and treatment of Mr. Rebich  
20 since on or after July 2017.

21       17. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b)(1), 2675, 2672 and 2679, at all  
22 times relevant, all physicians, PA, nurses and other support personnel involved in the  
23 diagnosis, care, and continuing treatment of Mr. Rebich at the CBOC Kalispell, and  
24 the MT-VMC were employees of the Defendant and were acting within the scope of  
25 their office or employment.

26       ////

27       ////

28

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

18. The plaintiff, Mr. Rebich, was raised in Montana and he is a veteran of the United States Army, having served between 1965 and 1969.

19. Mr. Rebich is a veteran of the Vietnam conflict with service in the Republic of Vietnam. Mr. Rebich left the Republic of Vietnam in 1968.

20. Mr. Rebich continued to serve stateside until he obtained an Honorable Discharge from the United States Army in November 1969.

21. Beginning in 1970, Mr. Rebich began receiving service-connected disability benefits from the Veterans Administration.

22. Consistent with his service in Vietnam, Mr. Rebich is presumed to have been exposed to Agent Orange, a chemical defoliant, which is affirmatively associated with a long list of medical conditions.

23. That among the list of medical conditions which have been linked to exposure to Agent Orange include, bladder cancer, ischemic heart disease and other medical conditions.

24. That despite possessing records to the contrary, defendant denied that Mr. Rebich had service in the Republic of Vietnam until in or around 2008.

25. That in 1985, Mr. Rebich enrolled and received confirmation of his enrollment on a list of those veterans exposed to Agent Orange.

26. Mr. Rebich has been a resident of the State of Montana since 1975. Mr. Rebich has lived in Flathead County since 1997.

27. That at all times relevant Mr. Rebich received medical treatment, including diagnostic testing, blood work, and other generally accepted testing from the defendant(s) to determine Mr. Rebich state of health.

28. That at all times relevant Mr. Rebich received medical treatment, including diagnostic testing, blood work, and other generally accepted testing from

11111

1 the defendant(s) for the purpose of discerning treatment options and the effectiveness  
2 of treatment.

3 29. Between 2004 and 2018, the VA health care system was the sole health  
4 care provider utilized by Mr. Rebich.

5 30. That in or around June 27, 2018, Mr. Rebich presented at the defendants  
6 clinic in Kalispell Montana with complaint for tightness in his chest and difficulty  
7 breathing.

8 31. That upon information and belief, the VA has certain rules and protocols  
9 for the assessment and treatment of such conditions, but that the defendant did not  
10 follow those protocols.

11 32. That on or about July 15, 2018, after his continued complaints of  
12 breathing difficulty and chest pain, Mr. Rebich was given an inhaler to treat his  
13 symptoms.

14 33. That in July 2018, Mr. Rebich cooperated in further testing concerning  
15 his health condition following which tests a pulmonary condition was ruled out.

16 34. Following the exam in July 2018, and after a pulmonary condition was  
17 ruled out, further appointments were scheduled at the CBOC in Kalispell, Montana.

18 35. That the appointments which were scheduled for a physician to review  
19 his medical condition, which appointments were later denied.

20 36. That on one occasion, after being told that the physician was not in the  
21 building, the plaintiff observed that the physician was actually present.

22 37. That after Mr. Rebich observed the physician, the physician directed his  
23 nurse to perform certain tests. The plaintiffs were told that the medical equipment,  
24 or the computerized medical records were not available for a proper assessment of  
25 Mr. Rebich's medical condition.

26 38. That one or more occasions when the plaintiffs scheduled appointments  
27 and received confirmation through the defendants agents, the plaintiffs were told that

1 there was no scheduled appointment when they appeared at the defendants facility  
2 the CBOC-Kalispell.

3       39. That on at least two occasions when Mr. Rebich presented for medical  
4 diagnosis and treatment defendant provided Mr. Rebich with inhalers without any  
5 medical testing or reasonable evaluation.

6       40. That one or more occasion when the defendant provided medication  
7 to Mr. Rebich, the defendant insisted that Mr. Rebich accept medication to which he  
8 had previously had adverse reaction.

9       41. Between July 2018 and October 2018, Mr. Rebich appeared at the  
10 CBOC Kalispell and with complaints of increasing pressure in his chest, difficulty  
11 breathing, coupled with dizziness/light headed affect.

12       42. While waiting for the defendant to schedule exam, Mr. Rebich  
13 continued to report his concerns that he was experiencing difficult chest pressure and  
14 difficulty breathing.

15       43. During the same period, and despite the symptoms, the defendants  
16 unreasonably delayed medical assessment and failed to provide reasonably safe  
17 medical practices as Mr. Rebich's health suffered.

18       44. That in October 2018, while waiting for the defendant resources,  
19 Mr. Rebich, learned that yet another appointment to visit with a physician concerning  
20 his health, was cancelled.

21       45. On the same day that his appointment was cancelled, Mr. Rebich  
22 suffered a severe heart attack due to a coronary blockage that took two stents to clear  
23 the blockage. Because the blockage was so large it took two stents placed end to  
24 end, (one 32mm and the other was 24mm) to open the artery.

25       46. That the defendants were informed and cognizant of that condition.

26       47. That upon information and belief, the defendants have failed to provide  
27 timely and adequate medical care for Mr. Rebich which was required by law.

# **CAUSES OF ACTION – NEGLIGENCE**

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

48. Mr. Rebich incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 47, above.

49. That upon information and belief, the defendants undertook and contracted to provide medical care and treatment to Mr. Rebich.

50. That a patient/physician relationship existed between the defendant's agents, and Mr. Rebich.

51. That, upon information and belief, the defendants had a duty to provide appropriate and quality medical care including the timely examination, evaluation, diagnosis and medical treatment, and other medical services.

10       52. That, upon information and belief, the defendants failed to provide  
11 reasonable appropriate and timely examinations, evaluation, diagnosis and medical  
12 treatment, and other necessary medical services to Mr. Rebich.

13       53. That, upon information and belief, the defendants have failed to provide  
14 Mr. Rebich with reasonable or adequate medical treatment.

15       54. That, upon information and belief, as a direct and legal result of the  
16 defendant's negligence, and failure to meet the appropriate standard of care, Mr.  
17 Rebich has suffered injuries, damages, and irreparable harm, as set forth above,  
18 entitling Mr. Rebich to an award for general and special damages in an amount to be  
19 determined at trial.

# **FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION MEDICAL MALPRACTICE**

21 55. That the plaintiff incorporates the prior allegations as set forth in  
22 paragraphs 1 to 54 as though repeated herein verbatim.

23        56. That, upon information and belief, a reasonably prudent and careful  
24 health care provider would have, under similar circumstances, adopted and followed,  
25 consistent with accepted standards of care, best practices and guidelines to assess,  
26 diagnose and consider the medical consequences that result from the exposure to  
27 herbicides.

1       57. That, upon information and belief, a reasonably prudent and careful  
2 health care provider would have under similar circumstances consistent with the  
3 standard of care, adopted and followed standards of care, best practices, and  
4 guidelines to assess and diagnose the existence of Mr. Rebich's medical condition.

5       58. That a reasonably prudent and careful health care provider would  
6 have, under similar circumstances, consistent with the standard of care, would have  
7 referred Mr. Rebich for outside medical care if it could not reasonably and timely  
8 assess, diagnose and treat Mr. Rebich's medical condition which required surgery for  
9 correction.

10      59. That, upon information and belief, the defendants, as a reasonably  
11 prudent and careful health care provider, would have, consistent with accepted  
12 standards of care, under similar circumstances, adopted and followed best practices,  
13 and guidelines to assess, distinguish and diagnose heart conditions from other less  
14 threatening medical conditions.

15      60. That, upon information and belief, the defendants, as a reasonably  
16 prudent and careful health care provider would have established reasonable  
17 conditions for the timely treatment of Mr. Rebich medical conditions.

18      61. That, upon information and belief, the defendant failed to adopt such  
19 standards, failed to adhere to such standards, and failed in its duty to provide  
20 appropriate and adequate medical treatment to Mr. Rebich

21      62. As a proximate result of one or more of the foregoing negligent acts or  
22 omissions of defendants, the plaintiff, Mr. Rebich suffered permanent injuries; has  
23 experienced and will experience future pain and suffering, great grief, sorrow, and  
24 mental suffering; has incurred and in the future will incur obligations for substantial  
25 sums of money for medical expenses.

26      63. That Mr. Rebich's injuries are a direct or proximate consequence of the  
27 defendants failures.

## **FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION LOSS OF CONSORTIUM**

64. That the plaintiff incorporates the prior allegations as set forth in paragraphs 1 to 63 as though repeated herein verbatim.

65. As a direct and proximate result of the carelessness and negligence of the Defendant, as set forth above, the Plaintiff, Mrs. Rebich, has suffered consequential damages and has been deprived of her husband's full society, care, comfort, companionship, and has otherwise suffered a loss of consortium.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs, Anthony Rebich and Sherry Rebich, respectfully demands judgment against the Defendant, the United States of America Department of Veterans Affairs, and any other identified defendants jointly and severally, for an amount of damages set forth in applicable federal and state law and for:

- A. Actual damages in the amount as can be determined at trial on the issues of;
- B. Compensatory damages as allowed by law; and
- C. For an award of attorney's fees and costs as may be allowed by law; and,

For such other relief as the Court may determine to be appropriate.

DATED this 10<sup>TH</sup> day of May, 2021.

/S/ Peter F. Carroll

---

Peter F. Carroll  
Attorney at Law

11111

11111

11111

## CERTIFICATION

2 I hereby certify that to the best of my information, knowledge and belief that  
3 the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action pending in any  
4 court or of a pending arbitration proceeding, that no other action or arbitration is  
5 contemplated, and I am not aware of any other person whom should be joined in  
6 this matter.

DATED this 10<sup>TH</sup> day of May, 2021.

/s/ Peter F. Carroll

---

Peter F. Carroll  
Attorney at Law